1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANDREW JEFFRIES,	No. 2: 20-cv-2414 JAM KJN P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	KEN CLARK,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On May 17, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which	
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
25	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.	
26	////	
27	////	
28	////	

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 17, 2021, are adopted in full. 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 12) is partially granted, in that claims six and seven are unexhausted. 3. Petitioner's motion for stay, pursuant to Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269 (2005), (ECF No. 14) is granted. 4. Clerk of Court is directed to administratively close this case. 5. Upon the completion of the state habeas review, petitioner is directed to file a motion to lift the stay within 30 days from the date of any decision by the California Supreme Court. 6. Petitioner's failure to file the motion or to properly exhaust his state court remedies will result in an order lifting the stay of this case and claims six and seven will be dismissed as unexhausted. DATED: June 28, 2021 /s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE